

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/829,339 04/22/2004 1594.1360 6787 Kobayashi Shozo **EXAMINER** 21171 08/25/2004 7590 FUQUA, SHAWNTINA T STAAS & HALSEY LLP **SUITE 700** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.

3742 DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1 //	- /	
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	1/6	ナー	
Office Action Summary		10/829	,339	SHOZO, KOBAYA)ZO, KOBAYASHI $^{\mathcal{V}}$		
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
			ina T. Fuqua	3742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on t	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. o) days, a reply within the s tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tatutory minimum of thirty (30) of will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the polication to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>22 April 2004</i> .					
2a)□							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	• •				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,9,12,13,15,16,18,19,21 and 22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3,4,6,7,10,14,17 and 20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2004 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ acception to the drawing(s the correction is requ) be held in abeyance. Suired if the drawing(s) is a	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen			_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	ry (PTO-413) Date					
3) 因 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ler No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/04.			Patent Application (PTO	-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 11, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Willis et al (US5158064).

Willis et al discloses an overheated steam oven having a cabinet (10) to define a cooking cavity (42), and an overheated steam generator (14, 24) comprising a steam generating vessel (14), an outlet (44) to communicate with the cooking cavity (42), first and second heaters (38, 50) to produce steam wherein the first heater is immersed in water and the second heater is in the upper portion above the water (Figure 2, column 2, line 37-column 3, line 8), the steam generating vessel provides insulation (14, Figures 1-2), an inner vessel (14) part which contains the first and second heater, a steam inlet part (44) provided on the rear wall and comprises a bent part (Figure 2), and the cooking cavity comprising a plurality of sheets spaced apart from each other to insulate the cooking cavity (Figures 1-2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8, 12-13, 18-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willis et al in view of Maniscalco (US3639725).

Willis et al discloses all of the recited subject matter except a water level sensor.

Maniscalco discloses a water level sensor (16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the water level sensor of Maniscalco in the overheated steam oven of Willis et al because, a water level sensor prevents the steam generator from overheating.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-4, 6-7, 10, 14-17, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawntina T. Fuqua whose telephone number is (703) 305-2581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,339 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

stf August 23, 2004 Shawntina Fuqua Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3742